

Strictly commutative dg-algebras in positive characteristic

Oisín Flynn-Connolly

Université Sorbonne Paris Nord

February 1, 2024

- 1 Review E_∞ -algebras and Steenrod operations.

Talk plan

- 1 Review E_∞ -algebras and Steenrod operations.
- 2 Introduce and motivate strictly commutative dg-algebras in positive characteristic and their basic properties.

Talk plan

- 1 Review E_∞ -algebras and Steenrod operations.
- 2 Introduce and motivate strictly commutative dg-algebras in positive characteristic and their basic properties.
- 3 Obstruction theory in positive characteristic. Define Massey products, compute the primitive secondary cohomology operations for strictly commutative dg-algebras for and formulate the coherent vanishing of higher Steenrod operations.

Talk plan

- 1 Review E_∞ -algebras and Steenrod operations.
- 2 Introduce and motivate strictly commutative dg-algebras in positive characteristic and their basic properties.
- 3 Obstruction theory in positive characteristic. Define Massey products, compute the primitive secondary cohomology operations for strictly commutative dg-algebras for and formulate the coherent vanishing of higher Steenrod operations.
- 4 Introduce an explicit model for the de Rham forms over $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ which provides a best approximation to the singular cochains. Study what information can be extracted from it.

Part 0: A crash-course in E_∞ -algebras

Definition

A (commutative) dg-algebra is a chain complex (A, d) equipped with a binary (graded commutative) associative multiplication $m : A^p \otimes A^q \rightarrow A^{p+q}$ and such that d is a derivation with respect to m . Alternatively it is an algebra over the operad Assoc (or Com) in dg-modules.

Example

Let X be a topological space. Then the cohomology ring $(H^\bullet(X, R), 0)$ equipped with the cup product forms a commutative dg-algebra.

Problem: the cohomology is not a complete invariant of homotopy type.

Example

Let X be a topological space or simplicial set. Then the singular cochains $(C^\bullet(X, R), d)$ equipped with the cochain level cup product forms a dg-algebra that is generally not graded commutative.

Definition

An E_∞ -operad is any operadic resolution $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Com}$ such that the \mathbb{S}_k action on \mathcal{E} is free.

The singular cochain complex $C^\bullet(X, R)$ is an E_∞ -algebra. This is a complete homotopy invariant.

Theorem (Mandell, 2003)

Two finite type nilpotent spaces X and Y are weakly equivalent and only if their E_∞ -algebras of singular cochains with integral coefficients are quasi-isomorphic as E_∞ -algebras.

The Barratt-Eccles operad

Definition

The Barratt-Eccles operad \mathcal{E} is an operad in simplicial sets given in each arity are of the form

$$\mathcal{E}(r)_n = \{(w_0, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{S}_r \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}_r\}$$

equipped with face and degeneracy maps

$$d_i(w_0, \dots, w_n) = (w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}, \hat{w}_i, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_n)$$

$$s_i(w_0, \dots, w_n) = (w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}, w_i, w_i, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_n).$$

\mathbb{S}_r acts on $\mathcal{E}(n)$ diagonally. Finally the compositions are also defined componentwise via the explicit composition law of

$$\gamma : \mathbb{S}(r) \times \mathbb{S}(n_1) \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}(n_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(n_1 + \dots + n_r)$$

$$(\sigma, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) \mapsto \sigma_{n_1 \dots n_r} \circ (\sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_r)$$

Steenrod operations

Let \mathcal{P} be an operad and let V be a dg-module. Recall that the free \mathcal{P} -algebra on V is

$$\mathcal{P}(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(i) \otimes^{\mathbb{S}_i} V^{\otimes i}$$

Steenrod operations

Let \mathcal{P} be an operad and let V be a dg-module. Recall that the free \mathcal{P} -algebra on V is

$$\mathcal{P}(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(i) \otimes^{\mathbb{S}_i} V^{\otimes i}$$

When working in finite characteristic, the cohomology of the free E_{∞} -algebra is not the symmetric algebra. Instead one has

$$H^{\bullet} \mathcal{E}(V) = \mathcal{A}(H^{\bullet}(V))$$

Here \mathcal{A} is the (unstable) Steenrod algebra which contains $\text{Sym}(H^{\bullet}(V))$

Steenrod operations

Let \mathcal{P} be an operad and let V be a dg-module. Recall that the free \mathcal{P} -algebra on V is

$$\mathcal{P}(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(i) \otimes^{\mathbb{S}_i} V^{\otimes i}$$

When working in finite characteristic, the cohomology of the free E_{∞} -algebra is not the symmetric algebra. Instead one has

$$H^{\bullet} \mathcal{E}(V) = \mathcal{A}(H^{\bullet}(V))$$

Here \mathcal{A} is the (unstable) Steenrod algebra which contains $\text{Sym}(H^{\bullet}(V))$ but also extra elements like $\text{Sq}^n(v)$. One has a map

$$\mathcal{A}(H^{\bullet}(V)) \xrightarrow{H^{\bullet}(\gamma)} H^{\bullet}(V)$$

This means that the cohomology of an E_{∞} -algebra is commutative but also acted on by these extra elements in the Steenrod algebra.

Part 1: Strictly commutative dg-algebras

The geometric motivation The starting observation of rational homotopy theory is that, in zero characteristic, every E_∞ -algebra is weakly equivalent to a commutative dg-algebra. This viewpoint allows us to “completely” understand spaces rationally.

- A natural question: when does an E_∞ -algebra admit a commutative model over \mathbb{F}_p or $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$?

The geometric motivation The starting observation of rational homotopy theory is that, in zero characteristic, every E_∞ -algebra is weakly equivalent to a commutative dg-algebra. This viewpoint allows us to “completely” understand spaces rationally.

- A natural question: when does an E_∞ -algebra admit a commutative model over \mathbb{F}_p or $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$?
- In situations where you cannot give such a model, what is the best model that you can give? What information can we extract from it?

The algebraic motivation Studying E_∞ -algebras is hard. There are still being papers written on the primary Steenrod operations and the secondary Steenrod operations are incredibly complicated. Studying commutative dg-algebras gives us insight into this difficult structure in a baby case.

Three flavours of commutativity

[Fresse, Cartan] In positive characteristic, there are three ways to form the free commutative (or \mathcal{P}) algebra on a dg-module A .

Three flavours of commutativity

[Fresse, Cartan] In positive characteristic, there are three ways to form the free commutative (or \mathcal{P}) algebra on a dg-module A .

- Firstly, one can take coinvariants: $\mathcal{P}(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{P}(k) \otimes A^{\otimes k})_{\mathbb{S}_k}$.
Algebras over this monad are dg-modules A equipped with a binary multiplication $m : A^{\bullet} \otimes A^{\bullet} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}$.

Three flavours of commutativity

[Fresse, Cartan] In positive characteristic, there are three ways to form the free commutative (or \mathcal{P}) algebra on a dg-module A .

- Firstly, one can take coinvariants: $\mathcal{P}(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{P}(k) \otimes A^{\otimes k})_{\mathbb{S}_k}$. Algebras over this monad are dg-modules A equipped with a binary multiplication $m : A^{\bullet} \otimes A^{\bullet} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}$.
- Secondly, one can take invariants $\Gamma\mathcal{P}(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{P}(k) \otimes A^{\otimes k})^{\mathbb{S}_k}$. Algebras over this monad are *divided power algebras*: dg-modules A equipped with a binary multiplication $m : A^{\bullet} \otimes A^{\bullet} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}$ and extra operations γ_k which behave like $\frac{x^k}{k!}$. Over \mathbb{F}_p , this implies that $x^p = 0$.

Three flavours of commutativity

[Fresse, Cartan] In positive characteristic, there are three ways to form the free commutative (or \mathcal{P}) algebra on a dg-module A .

- Firstly, one can take coinvariants: $\mathcal{P}(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{P}(k) \otimes A^{\otimes k})_{\mathbb{S}_k}$. Algebras over this monad are dg-modules A equipped with a binary multiplication $m : A^{\bullet} \otimes A^{\bullet} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}$.
- Secondly, one can take invariants $\Gamma\mathcal{P}(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{P}(k) \otimes A^{\otimes k})^{\mathbb{S}_k}$. Algebras over this monad are *divided power algebras*: dg-modules A equipped with a binary multiplication $m : A^{\bullet} \otimes A^{\bullet} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}$ and extra operations γ_k which behave like $\frac{x^k}{k!}$. Over \mathbb{F}_p , this implies that $x^p = 0$.
- Finally one has a monad $\mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \Gamma\mathcal{P}(A)$ given by the image of the norm map.

Three flavours of commutativity

[Fresse, Cartan] In positive characteristic, there are three ways to form the free commutative (or \mathcal{P}) algebra on a dg-module A .

- Firstly, one can take coinvariants: $\mathcal{P}(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{P}(k) \otimes A^{\otimes k})_{\mathbb{S}_k}$. Algebras over this monad are dg-modules A equipped with a binary multiplication $m : A^{\bullet} \otimes A^{\bullet} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}$.
- Secondly, one can take invariants $\Gamma\mathcal{P}(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{P}(k) \otimes A^{\otimes k})^{\mathbb{S}_k}$. Algebras over this monad are *divided power algebras*: dg-modules A equipped with a binary multiplication $m : A^{\bullet} \otimes A^{\bullet} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}$ and extra operations γ_k which behave like $\frac{x^k}{k!}$. Over \mathbb{F}_p , this implies that $x^p = 0$.
- Finally one has a monad $\mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \Gamma\mathcal{P}(A)$ given by the image of the norm map.

When we are working over a field of characteristic 0 (the classical theory of Loday-Vallette) or the action of \mathbb{S}_k on $\mathcal{P}(k)$ is free (theory of quasi-planar operads of Le Grignou-Roca Lucio), invariants coincide with coinvariants and the three notions above coincide (subject to certain finiteness assumptions).

Theorem (Hinich, 1997)

Let \mathcal{P} be a cofibrant (or \mathbb{S} -split) operad over a commutative ring R . Then the category of \mathcal{P} -algebras over R is a closed model category with quasi-isomorphisms as the weak equivalences and surjective maps as fibrations.

Theorem (Hinich, 1997)

Let \mathcal{P} be a cofibrant (or \mathbb{S} -split) operad over a commutative ring R . Then the category of \mathcal{P} -algebras over R is a closed model category with quasi-isomorphisms as the weak equivalences and surjective maps as fibrations.

The Barratt-Eccles operad is \mathbb{S} -split. So E_∞ -algebras have a nice homotopy theory.

Theorem (Hinich, 1997)

Let \mathcal{P} be a cofibrant (or \mathbb{S} -split) operad over a commutative ring R . Then the category of \mathcal{P} -algebras over R is a closed model category with quasi-isomorphisms as the weak equivalences and surjective maps as fibrations.

The Barratt-Eccles operad is \mathbb{S} -split. So E_∞ -algebras have a nice homotopy theory.

Unfortunately the commutative operad is not \mathbb{S} -split. In fact, the category of commutative algebras cannot be equipped with a model structure in a nice way because the functor Sym is not homotopy invariant.

Theorem (Hinich, 1997)

Let \mathcal{P} be a cofibrant (or \mathbb{S} -split) operad over a commutative ring R . Then the category of \mathcal{P} -algebras over R is a closed model category with quasi-isomorphisms as the weak equivalences and surjective maps as fibrations.

The Barratt-Eccles operad is \mathbb{S} -split. So E_∞ -algebras have a nice homotopy theory.

Unfortunately the commutative operad is not \mathbb{S} -split. In fact, the category of commutative algebras cannot be equipped with a model structure in a nice way because the functor Sym is not homotopy invariant.

Example

Consider $M = \mathbb{F}_p[x \rightarrow dx]$. One has $H^\bullet(\text{Sym}(M)) \neq 0$ because 1) x^{p^n} is a cocycle 2) $x^{p^n-1}dx$ is not closed.

Part 2: Obstruction theory over \mathbb{F}_p

A crash-course in Massey products 1

When is a commutative algebra over \mathbb{Q} weakly equivalent to its cohomology?

A crash-course in Massey products 1

When is a commutative algebra over \mathbb{Q} weakly equivalent to its cohomology?

Answer: The obstructions to formality are given by Massey products (or, more recently, in terms of Kaledin classes).

A crash-course in Massey products 1

When is a commutative algebra over \mathbb{Q} weakly equivalent to its cohomology?

Answer: The obstructions to formality are given by Massey products (or, more recently, in terms of Kaledin classes).

Definition

Let A be a dg-algebra. Let $a, b, c \in H^\bullet(A)$ be such that $ab = 0$ and $bc = 0$. Let x, y, z be cocycles representing a, b, c and suppose $du = xy$ and $dv = yz$. Then $uz - xv$ is a cocycle that we call the (primitive, secondary) Massey product, it represents a well-defined class of

$$\frac{H^{|a|+|b|+|c|-1}(A)}{aH^{|b|+|c|-1}(A) + H^{|a|+|b|-1}(A)c}$$

A crash-course in Massey products 1

When is a commutative algebra over \mathbb{Q} weakly equivalent to its cohomology?

Answer: The obstructions to formality are given by Massey products (or, more recently, in terms of Kaledin classes).

Definition

Let A be a dg-algebra. Let $a, b, c \in H^\bullet(A)$ be such that $ab = 0$ and $bc = 0$. Let x, y, z be cocycles representing a, b, c and suppose $du = xy$ and $dv = yz$. Then $uz - xv$ is a cocycle that we call the (primitive, secondary) Massey product, it represents a well-defined class of

$$\frac{H^{|a|+|b|+|c|-1}(A)}{aH^{|b|+|c|-1}(A) + H^{|a|+|b|-1}(A)c}$$

Proposition (Massey, 1958)

If for some $a, b, c \in H^\bullet(A)$, the class above is nonzero, then A is not formal

Higher Massey products and coherent vanishing

- The (primitive) Massey product we gave is a cocycle because A satisfies the associative relation. (Massey, 1958)

Higher Massey products and coherent vanishing

- The (primitive) Massey product we gave is a cocycle because A satisfies the associative relation. (Massey, 1958)
- There are higher order primitive Massey products, defined whenever lower Massey products vanish, corresponding to higher associative syzygies (relations between relations). (Massey, 1958)

Higher Massey products and coherent vanishing

- The (primitive) Massey product we gave is a cocycle because A satisfies the associative relation. (Massey, 1958)
- There are higher order primitive Massey products, defined whenever lower Massey products vanish, corresponding to higher associative syzgies (relations between relations). (Massey, 1958)
- There are also matrix Massey products which correspond to more complicated relations in the cohomology algebra. (May, 1968)

Higher Massey products and coherent vanishing

- The (primitive) Massey product we gave is a cocycle because A satisfies the associative relation. (Massey, 1958)
- There are higher order primitive Massey products, defined whenever lower Massey products vanish, corresponding to higher associative syzgies (relations between relations). (Massey, 1958)
- There are also matrix Massey products which correspond to more complicated relations in the cohomology algebra. (May, 1968)
- These can be packaged together as the differentials in the *Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence* which computes $\mathrm{Tor}^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$ from $\mathrm{Tor}^{H(A)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$.

Higher Massey products and coherent vanishing

- The (primitive) Massey product we gave is a cocycle because A satisfies the associative relation. (Massey, 1958)
- There are higher order primitive Massey products, defined whenever lower Massey products vanish, corresponding to higher associative syzygies (relations between relations). (Massey, 1958)
- There are also matrix Massey products which correspond to more complicated relations in the cohomology algebra. (May, 1968)
- These can be packaged together as the differentials in the *Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence* which computes $\mathrm{Tor}^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$ from $\mathrm{Tor}^{H(A)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$.
- More recently, this machinery has been extended to general quadratic operads. (Muro, 2023)

Coherent vanishing of Massey products

Unfortunately, it is not enough for Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence to collapse on E_2 -page, in other words, for all Massey products to vanish. Formality turns out to be equivalent to all of these vanishing in a *coherent* way.

Coherent vanishing of Massey products

Unfortunately, it is not enough for Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence to collapse on E_2 -page, in other words, for all Massey products to vanish. Formality turns out to be equivalent to all of these vanishing in a *coherent* way.

Theorem (Deligne, Griffiths, Morgan, Sullivan, 1975)

Let A be a commutative dg-algebra in \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (\text{Sym}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i), d)$ be the minimal model for A . Then A is formal if and only if, there is in each V_i a complement B_i to the cocycles Z_i , $V_i = Z_i \oplus B_i$, such that any closed form, a , in the ideal, $I(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i)$, is exact.

Massey products in positive characteristic

Over \mathbb{F}_p there are more secondary operations.

Definition (F. C.)

Let A be a commutative dg-algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . Let $x, y \in H^\bullet(A)$ be such that $xy = 0$. Choose cocycles $a, b \in A$ representing x, y respectively. Then there exists $c \in A$ such that $dc = xy$. Then c^p is a cocycle which we call the *type 1 secondary commutative product* of x and y . This represents a well defined element of

$$\frac{H^{p(|x|+|y|-1)}(A)}{H^{(|x|+|y|-1)}(A)^p + x^p H^{p(|y|-1)}(A) + y^p H^{p(|x|-1)}(A)}$$

where the term $x^p H^{p(|y|-1)}(A) + y^p H^{p(|x|-1)}(A)$ in the denominator accounts for the choice of representatives x and y .

Type 2 commutative products

Definition (F. C.)

Let p be an odd prime. Then there is a *type 2 secondary commutative product* defined for $x, y \in H^*(A)$ such that $xy = 0$ we choose cocycles $a, b \in A$ representing x, y respectively. Then there exists $c \in A$ such that $dc = xy$. Then $c^{p^n-1}ab$ is a cocycle which we call the *type 2 secondary commutative product* of x and y . In this case, the operation represents a well-defined element of

$$\frac{H^{p^n(|x|+|y|-1)+|x|+|y|}(A)}{H^{(|x|+|y|-1)}(A)^{p^n-1}}$$

Observe that $d(\frac{1}{p}c^p) = c^{p-1}ab$. Therefore type 2 secondary commutative products vanish on divided power algebras. Therefore this kind of operation provides an obstruction for a commutative algebra A to be weakly equivalent to a divided power algebra.

Completeness of secondary operations

Definition

We call a Massey product *primitive* if it arises from monomial relations in cohomology.

Proposition

All secondary primitive Massey products on a commutative dg-algebra A over \mathbb{F}_p are linear combinations of

- *classical Massey products.*
- *Type 1 secondary commutative operations*
- *Type 2 secondary commutative operations.*

Counterexamples

Example

The following dg algebras over \mathbb{Z} are quasi-isomorphic over \mathbb{Q} but not \mathbb{F}_p .

$$A = \text{Sym}(x, y, z)/(xy, xz, yz)$$

$$B = \text{Sym}(x, y, z, t)/(xy - dt, t^p - z, xz, yz, t^{p+1}, t^{p-1}xy)$$

where $|x| = |t| = 2$, $|y| = 1$ and $|z| = 2p$.

Counterexamples

Example

The following dg algebras over \mathbb{Z} are quasi-isomorphic over \mathbb{Q} but not \mathbb{F}_p .

$$A = \text{Sym}(x, y, z)/(xy, xz, yz)$$

$$B = \text{Sym}(x, y, z, t)/(xy - dt, t^p - z, xz, yz, t^{p+1}, t^{p-1}xy)$$

where $|x| = |t| = 2$, $|y| = 1$ and $|z| = 2p$.

Example

The following dg-algebra has a divided power structure on its cohomology is not quasi-isomorphic to a divided power algebra

$$\text{Sym}(\mathbb{F}_p\langle x, y, z \rangle, t)/(dt - xy, t^p, t^{p-1}xy - z)$$

where the degrees $|x|, |t|$ are even and $|y|, |z|$ are odd. This is a divided power algebra with cohomology given by $\mathbb{F}_p\langle x, y, z \rangle/(xy)$. Nonetheless, the type 2 commutative product of x, y is z .

Obstructions to commutativity

When is an E_∞ -algebra with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p weakly equivalent to a strictly commutative dg-algebra?

Obstructions to commutativity

When is an E_∞ -algebra with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p weakly equivalent to a strictly commutative dg-algebra?

A: There are obvious obstructions are given by the Steenrod operations.

Obstructions to commutativity

When is an E_∞ -algebra with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p weakly equivalent to a strictly commutative dg-algebra?

A: There are obvious obstructions are given by the Steenrod operations.

Proposition (Mandell, 2009)

The E_∞ -algebra $C^\bullet(X, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is rectifiable iff X is the disjoint union of contractible spaces.

Obstructions to commutativity

When is an E_∞ -algebra with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p weakly equivalent to a strictly commutative dg-algebra?

A: There are obvious obstructions are given by the Steenrod operations.

Proposition (Mandell, 2009)

The E_∞ -algebra $C^\bullet(X, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is rectifiable iff X is the disjoint union of contractible spaces.

There are less obvious obstructions given by secondary operations.

Conjecture (Mandell, 2009)

Let X be a finite n -connected simplicial set. Then, after inverting finitely many primes $C^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z})$ has a commutative model as an E_n -algebra. If X is formal, then, after possibly inverting more primes, this commutative model is formal.

Definition

Let \mathcal{P} be an operad over a field and A is a \mathcal{P} -algebra. A *Sullivan model* for A is a semi-free algebra $f : (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i), d) \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ such that

- the map $f|_{V_0} : V_0 \rightarrow A$ is a weak equivalence of dg-vector spaces. In particular $V_0 = H^\bullet(A)$.
- the differential satisfies $d(V_k) \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} V_i), d)$.
- We require that $V_k \oplus (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} V_i)) \rightarrow A$ is a weak equivalence for each k .

The intuition is that a Sullivan model captures the idea of building a quasi-free resolution in stages, starting with a map $H \rightarrow A$ by killing cocycles.

\mathcal{P} -Massey products

We use a similar idea to define Massey products in this context.

Definition

A N -step Sullivan model for A is a semi-free algebra

$f : (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^N V_i), d) \rightarrow A$ such that

- the differential satisfies $d(V_k) \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} V_i), d)$
- We require that $V_k \oplus (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} V_i)) \rightarrow A$ is a weak equivalence for each k .

Let $I(\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i), d)$ be the ideal generated by $\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i), d$. We call nonzero $\sigma \in H^\bullet(I(\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i), d))$ an N^{th} order Massey product with value $H^\bullet(f)(\sigma)$

\mathcal{P} -Massey products

We use a similar idea to define Massey products in this context.

Definition

A N -step Sullivan model for A is a semi-free algebra

$f : (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^N V_i), d) \rightarrow A$ such that

- the differential satisfies $d(V_k) \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} V_i), d)$
- We require that $V_k \oplus (\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} V_i)) \rightarrow A$ is a weak equivalence for each k .

Let $I(\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i), d)$ be the ideal generated by $\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i), d$. We call nonzero $\sigma \in H^\bullet(I(\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i), d))$ an N^{th} order Massey product with value $H^\bullet(f)(\sigma)$

Remark: cocycles σ can be identified with differentials in a spectral sequence where the E_1 -page is homotopy invariant for sufficiently nice \mathcal{P} . This shows that this notion of Massey product is homotopy invariant for such \mathcal{P} .

Coherent vanishing of higher Steenrod operations

Definition

Let A be an E_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . Then the higher Steenrod operations *vanish coherently* if one can find a Sullivan resolution $(\mathcal{E}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i), d)$ for A , such that there exists a splitting $V_i = X_i \oplus Y_i$, with $X_0 = V_0$ and $Y_0 = 0$. We further require that the nonexact cocycles $Z(\mathcal{E}(V_0))$ admit a splitting of vector spaces $Z(\mathcal{E}(V_0)) = \text{Sym}(V_0) \oplus K_0$, and that $d(Y_1) = K_0$. Inductively, for every $k > 0$, we assume one has a choice of splitting

$$H^\bullet(I(\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i), d))) := H^\bullet(I(\text{Sym}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i), d)) \oplus K_{k-1}$$

and we require that for some choice of cocycles $\overline{K_{k-1}}$ representing K_{k-1} we have $d(Y_k) = \overline{K_{k-1}}$.

Conjecture

Let A be an E_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . Then A is rectifiable if and only if its higher Steenrod operations vanish coherently.

Conjecture

Let A be an E_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . Then A is rectifiable if and only if its higher Steenrod operations vanish coherently.

There should be similar results for divided power algebras and formality.

Conjecture

Let A be an E_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . Then A is rectifiable if and only if its higher Steenrod operations vanish coherently.

There should be similar results for divided power algebras and formality. This should follow from the fact that there is a correspondence between commutative and E_∞ -operations

$$H^\bullet(I(\mathcal{E}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i), d))) := H^\bullet(I(\text{Sym}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i), d)) \oplus K_{k-1}$$

Conjecture

Let A be an E_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . Then A is rectifiable if and only if its higher Steenrod operations vanish coherently.

There should be similar results for divided power algebras and formality. This should follow from the fact that there is a correspondence between commutative and E_∞ -operations

$$H^\bullet(I(\mathcal{E}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i), d))) := H^\bullet(I(\text{Sym}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i), d)) \oplus K_{k-1}$$

In practice, this correspondence seems to be quite complex.

Conjecture

Let A be an E_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . Then A is rectifiable if and only if its higher Steenrod operations vanish coherently.

There should be similar results for divided power algebras and formality. This should follow from the fact that there is a correspondence between commutative and E_∞ -operations

$$H^\bullet(I(\mathcal{E}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i), d))) := H^\bullet(I(\text{Sym}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i), d)) \oplus K_{k-1}$$

In practice, this correspondence seems to be quite complex. For example, type 1 operations c^2 correspond to $c^{\otimes 2} + c \cup_1 dc + K$ where $dK = dc \cup dc$.

Part 2: de Rham forms in positive characteristic

de Rham forms in positive characteristic

Motivation: we want to find a best commutative approximation to the E_∞ algebra $C^\bullet(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p})$.

de Rham forms in positive characteristic

Motivation: we want to find a best commutative approximation to the E_∞ algebra $C^\bullet(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p})$.

Key idea: We want to imitate Sullivan's approach to rational homotopy theory.

Theorem (Sullivan, 1978)

Suppose one has a functor $A_{PL} : \Delta^\bullet \rightarrow \text{CDGA}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ that satisfies the Poincaré Lemma: $H^0(\Delta^n, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $H^i(\Delta^n, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $i > 0$; and which is extendable $\pi_k(A_{PL}^k(\Delta^\bullet)) = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. Then the left Kan extension along $\Delta^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta$

$$A_{PL} : \text{Set}_\Delta \rightarrow \text{CDGA}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is such that there is a zig-zag of E_∞ -algebras

$$A_{PL}^\bullet(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A_{PL} \otimes C)^\bullet(X) \xleftarrow{\sim} C^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

Part 2: de Rham forms in positive characteristic

Definition

The simplicial cochain coalgebra Ω_{\bullet}^* has for n -simplices

$$\Omega_n^* = \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle \otimes \Lambda(dx_0, \dots, dx_n)}{(x_0 + \dots + x_n - p, dx_0 + \dots + dx_n)}, \quad |x_i| = 0, \quad |dx_i| = 1.$$

Definition

The simplicial cochain coalgebra Ω_{\bullet}^* has for n -simplices

$$\Omega_n^* = \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle \otimes \Lambda(dx_0, \dots, dx_n)}{(x_0 + \dots + x_n - p, dx_0 + \dots + dx_n)}, \quad |x_i| = 0, \quad |dx_i| = 1.$$

The differential $d : \Omega_n^* \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}^*$ is determined by the formula

$$d(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

for $f \in \Gamma_p(x_0, \dots, x_n)/(x_0 + \dots + x_n - p)$ and then extended by the Leibniz rule

Part 2: de Rham forms in positive characteristic

Definition

The simplicial cochain coalgebra Ω_{\bullet}^* has for n -simplices

$$\Omega_n^* = \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle \otimes \Lambda(dx_0, \dots, dx_n)}{(x_0 + \dots + x_n - p, dx_0 + \dots + dx_n)}, \quad |x_i| = 0, \quad |dx_i| = 1.$$

The differential $d : \Omega_n^* \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}^*$ is determined by the formula

$$d(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

for $f \in \Gamma_p(x_0, \dots, x_n)/(x_0 + \dots + x_n - p)$ and then extended by the Leibniz rule. The simplicial structure is defined as follows

$$d_i^n : \Omega_n^* \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}^* : x_k \mapsto \begin{cases} x_k & \text{for } k < i. \\ 0 & \text{for } k = i. \\ x_{k-1} & \text{for } k > i. \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_i^n : \Omega_n^* \rightarrow \Omega_{n-1}^* : x_k \mapsto \begin{cases} x_k & \text{for } k < i. \\ x_k + x_{k+1} & \text{for } k = i. \\ x_{k+1} & \text{for } k > i. \end{cases}$$

The cohomology of de Rham forms

Cartan considered a similar construction except over $\mathbb{Z}\langle t \rangle$. The functor

$$\Omega : \Delta^\bullet \rightarrow \text{CDGA}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$$

satisfies the Poincaré Lemma but is not extendable.

The cohomology of de Rham forms

Cartan considered a similar construction except over $\mathbb{Z}\langle t \rangle$. The functor

$$\Omega : \Delta^\bullet \rightarrow \text{CDGA}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$$

satisfies the Poincaré Lemma but is not extendable. However, it is *almost extendable* and one can suitably modify Sullivan's proof to produce the following.

Theorem (Cartan, F.C)

Consider the left Kan extension along $\Delta^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta$

$$\Omega : \text{Set}_\Delta \rightarrow \text{CDGA}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$$

Then there is an isomorphism of cohomology algebras

$$H^\bullet(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}) = H^\bullet(\Omega(X)).$$

The homotopy type of the de Rham forms

The previous result can be upgraded to the E_∞ -homotopy type.

Definition

Let X be a simplicial set. We define the *altered singular cochain algebra* $C^\bullet(X)$ to be the following subalgebra of the singular cochains $C^\bullet(X)$.

$$C^n(X) = \left\langle p^i \sigma : \text{for } \sigma \in C^n(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p) \text{ and } \begin{cases} i = n & \text{if } d\sigma = 0. \\ i = n + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \right\rangle$$

The differential and the E_∞ structure are that induced by those on $C^\bullet(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$.

The homotopy type of the de Rham forms

The previous result can be upgraded to the E_∞ -homotopy type.

Definition

Let X be a simplicial set. We define the *altered singular cochain algebra* $C^\bullet(X)$ to be the following subalgebra of the singular cochains $C^\bullet(X)$.

$$C^n(X) = \left\langle p^i \sigma : \text{for } \sigma \in C^n(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p) \text{ and } \begin{cases} i = n & \text{if } d\sigma = 0. \\ i = n + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \right\rangle$$

The differential and the E_∞ structure are that induced by those on $C^\bullet(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$.

Theorem (F.C.)

As an E_∞ -algebra, $\Omega(X)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $C(X)$.

Universal properties 1

In general, $\Omega(X)$ doesn't seem to possess any universal properties.

Definition

As a free $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ -module $\Omega^\bullet(\Delta^n)$ admits a linear basis consisting of two kinds of monomials. Define

$$\Omega_B^\bullet(\Delta^n) = \langle x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} dx_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{j_m} \in \Omega^\bullet(\Delta^n) : n \geq 1 \rangle$$

$$\Omega_Z^\bullet(\Delta^n) = \langle dx_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{j_m} \in \Omega^\bullet(\Delta^n) : m \geq 1 \rangle$$

Therefore, we have, as a sum of graded modules

$$\Omega^\bullet(\Delta^n) = \Omega_B^\bullet(\Delta^n) \oplus \Omega_Z^\bullet(\Delta^n).$$

Definition

We define a commutative algebra

$$\mathcal{R}^k(\Delta^n) = \frac{1}{p^k} \Omega_B^k(\Delta^n) \oplus \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \Omega_Z^k(\Delta^n)$$

where Ω_B^\bullet and Ω_Z^\bullet are as before. The commutative algebra structure on $\Omega^\bullet(X)$ then extends to $\mathcal{R}^\bullet(X)$.

Universal properties 2

Definition

Let X be a simplicial set. We define the $\mathcal{E}^*(X)$ to be the following subalgebra of the singular cochains $C^*(X)$.

$$\mathcal{E}^n(X) = \left\langle p^i \sigma : \text{for } \sigma \in C^n(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p) \text{ and } \begin{cases} i = 1 & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ or } d\sigma \neq 0. \\ i = 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } d\sigma = 0 \end{cases} \right\rangle$$

The differential and the E_∞ structure are that induced by those on $C^*(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$.

Universal properties 2

Definition

Let X be a simplicial set. We define the $\mathcal{E}^*(X)$ to be the following subalgebra of the singular cochains $C^*(X)$.

$$\mathcal{E}^n(X) = \left\langle p^i \sigma : \text{for } \sigma \in C^n(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p) \text{ and } \begin{cases} i = 1 & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ or } d\sigma \neq 0. \\ i = 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } d\sigma = 0 \end{cases} \right\rangle$$

The differential and the E_∞ structure are that induced by those on $C^*(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$.

Theorem

Let $A \in \text{Com-alg}$, $X \in \text{Set}_\Delta$ and $i : \text{Com-alg} \rightarrow E_\infty\text{-alg}$ be the inclusion functor. Then there is an equivalence of mapping spaces

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Com-alg}}(A, \mathcal{R}^\bullet(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}_{E_\infty\text{-alg}}(A, C^\bullet(X)).$$

We can therefore think of \mathcal{R} as a partially defined right adjoint to i .

Formality of $\Omega(X)$

The model can be used to compute Massey products.

Proposition (F.C.)

Suppose that $\sigma \in H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the higher Massey product of $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in H^\bullet(A_{PL}(X), \mathbb{Q})$. Then there exists an $n > 0$ such that $p^n \sigma \in H^\bullet(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ is the higher Massey product of $\langle p^n x_1, p^n x_2, \dots, p^n x_n \rangle \in H^\bullet(A_{PL}(X), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ computed in $\Omega^\bullet(X)$.

Formality of $\Omega(X)$

The model can be used to compute Massey products.

Proposition (F.C.)

Suppose that $\sigma \in H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the higher Massey product of $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in H^\bullet(A_{PL}(X), \mathbb{Q})$. Then there exists an $n > 0$ such that $p^n \sigma \in H^\bullet(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ is the higher Massey product of $\langle p^n x_1, p^n x_2, \dots, p^n x_n \rangle \in H^\bullet(A_{PL}(X), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ computed in $\Omega^\bullet(X)$.

It can also be used to define Massey products in the torsion part of the cohomology.

Formality of $\Omega(X)$

The model can be used to compute Massey products.

Proposition (F.C.)

Suppose that $\sigma \in H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the higher Massey product of $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in H^\bullet(A_{PL}(X), \mathbb{Q})$. Then there exists an $n > 0$ such that $p^n \sigma \in H^\bullet(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ is the higher Massey product of $\langle p^n x_1, p^n x_2, \dots, p^n x_n \rangle \in H^\bullet(A_{PL}(X), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ computed in $\Omega^\bullet(X)$.

It can also be used to define Massey products in the torsion part of the cohomology. Finally, we have this theorem which is inspired by Mandell's conjecture.

Theorem (F.C.)

Let X be a finite simplicial set such that $A_{PL}(X)$ is formal over \mathbb{Q} . For all but finitely many primes, $\Omega^\bullet(X)$ is formal over $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ as a dg-commutative algebra.

Further questions

- Extend to other operads.
- There should be a coherent vanishing result for Type 2 operations that determines when a commutative algebra is a divided powers algebras.

Further questions

- Extend to other operads.
- There should be a coherent vanishing result for Type 2 operations that determines when a commutative algebra is a divided powers algebra.
- Primitive higher Massey products over a Koszul operad in characteristic 0 are classified by cooperations in the Koszul dual cooperad (FC, Moreno-Fernandez). Prove a similar result for higher order commutative Massey products in characteristic p .

Further questions

- Extend to other operads.
- There should be a coherent vanishing result for Type 2 operations that determines when a commutative algebra is a divided powers algebras.
- Primitive higher Massey products over a Koszul operad in characteristic 0 are classified by cooperations in the Koszul dual cooperad (FC, Moreno-Fernandez). Prove a similar result for higher order commutative Massey products in characteristic p .
- Koszul duality between restricted Lie algebras and divided powers algebras.

Further questions

- Extend to other operads.
- There should be a coherent vanishing result for Type 2 operations that determines when a commutative algebra is a divided powers algebras.
- Primitive higher Massey products over a Koszul operad in characteristic 0 are classified by cooperations in the Koszul dual cooperad (FC, Moreno-Fernandez). Prove a similar result for higher order commutative Massey products in characteristic p .
- Koszul duality between restricted Lie algebras and divided powers algebras.
- Is there a formulation of the coherent vanishing theorem in terms of Kaledin classes?

Further questions

- Extend to other operads.
- There should be a coherent vanishing result for Type 2 operations that determines when a commutative algebra is a divided powers algebras.
- Primitive higher Massey products over a Koszul operad in characteristic 0 are classified by cooperations in the Koszul dual cooperad (FC, Moreno-Fernandez). Prove a similar result for higher order commutative Massey products in characteristic p .
- Koszul duality between restricted Lie algebras and divided powers algebras.
- Is there a formulation of the coherent vanishing theorem in terms of Kaledin classes?
- Prove Mandell's conjecture.

Further questions

- Extend to other operads.
- There should be a coherent vanishing result for Type 2 operations that determines when a commutative algebra is a divided powers algebras.
- Primitive higher Massey products over a Koszul operad in characteristic 0 are classified by cooperations in the Koszul dual cooperad (FC, Moreno-Fernandez). Prove a similar result for higher order commutative Massey products in characteristic p .
- Koszul duality between restricted Lie algebras and divided powers algebras.
- Is there a formulation of the coherent vanishing theorem in terms of Kaledin classes?
- Prove Mandell's conjecture.
- Is there a version of Mandell's theorem for $\Omega(X)$?